blank is a collection of previously out-of-print essays and new works by one of Canada’s most important contemporary writers and thinkers.

Through an engagement with her earlier work, M. NourbeSe Philip articulates the existence of a repetition in the world: the return of something that, while still present, has become unembedded from the world, disappeared. Her imperative becomes to make us see what has gone unseen, by writing memory upon the margin of history, in the shadow of empire and at the frontier of silence.

In heretical writings that work to make the disappeared perceptible, Blank explores questions of race, the body politic, timeliness, recurrence, ongoingness, art and the so-called multicultural nation. Through these considerations, Philip creates a linguistic form that registers the presence of what has seemingly dissolved, a form that also imprints the loss and the silence surrounding those disappearances in its very presence.

PRAISE FOR Blank

“Poet, Essayist, Novelist, Playwright, Public Intellectual: M. NourbeSe Philip is the principal—and most principled—woman of letters in English right now. Her every word is a must-read because she writes nothing that doesn’t change everything. She isn’t politic; she’s political. Unabashedly. Her ruthless truth-telling is page-turning and paradigm-overturning.”

—GEORGE ELLIOTT CLARKE, PARLIAMENTARY POET LAUREATE (2016-17)
JAMMIN’ STILL

Whoever is uprooted himself uproots others. Whoever is rooted in himself doesn’t uproot others.

—Simone Weil

The singing voice invariably revises the signing voice, it marks a point of exorbitant originality for African American cultures and expressivities....the singing voice, the originality, stands above all as a “disturbance” of New World configurations of value, a disturbance decried by the West from the earliest moments of contact with African and African diasporic cultures.

—Lindon Barrett

The struggle against embedded journalism is not just being trapped in a green zone and how you break out of it, but how do you break out of a mental green zone that we’re conditioned to embrace.

—Jeremy Scahill

Social amnesia is society’s repression of remembrance—society’s own past. It is a psychic commodity of the commodity society.

—Russell Jacoby

I write memory on the margins of history, in the shadow of empire and on the frontier of Silence; I write against the grain as an unembedded, disappeared poet and writer in Canada; I write from a place of multiple identities—Black, African-descended, female, immigrant (or interloper) and Caribbean—which often by their very nature generate hostilities within the body politic of a so-called multicultural nation. And what better place to write an introduction
to this work than Tobago, the island of my birth, to which I’ve been making annual pilgrimages for the last thirty-five years. Some ten years of those visits were intended to bring my father, suffering from dementia and resident in Trinidad, on annual trips back to the island he called home, a place he loved dearly and which he wanted to see become independent of Trinidad. As I walk past the spot where one of the houses I grew up in used to be, or drive past the Catholic school I went to as a child, where one of the nuns ran hurtling down the hill pursued by a bull, I often wonder what pulls me back here year after year—sometimes for as little as a week, at others for as long as eighteen months when my partner and I brought our young children to live and attend school here. This island has grounded my life in poetry and in writing; it often frustrates me, as it did my father and many others, leading him to move the entire family to Trinidad, where he felt the schooling was better, thus starting another train of exile and longing for belonging. And some mornings the ocean is at least three shades of blue; at other times grey and sullen, and always it is enough.

It was here, a little more than a quarter of a century ago, I wrote “Echoes in a Stranger Land,” the introduction to my first collection of essays, *Frontiers: Essays and Writings on Racism and Culture*. The title, *Frontiers*, was a play on one of its meanings, margin, whose connotation within social contexts often suggests a sense of being overlooked. The frontier, however, despite its historical links with colonial discourse, suggested to me a place where anything was possible. A place where one could, because one was far away from the usual systems of control, experiment and try out different ways of being, identities even, including writing as a different way of being in the world. Behind the frontier was the hinterland, and for me the connotation of that latter word was one of backwoods or backwater. As a Black writer, one has continually to strategize about how to use what is intended to incapacitate you to your own advantage.

“Echoes in a Stranger Land” grappled with issues and ideas of exile, home and belonging as they pertained to living in Canada, a former dominion and an unsettler state. At the time of writing, the Yonge Street riots, as they came to be known, were happening, sparked by the Rodney King tragedy in the U.S. Now, some twenty-five years later, as I reflect on those issues, I gaze out at the still astonishingly blue ocean and once again I ask myself where
I truly belong, wondering whether I have gained any greater insights over the past twenty-five years.

Labels remain, but I am now considerably older and embrace the idea that while indigenous to the world, I remain exiled, possibly permanently: since although African-descended, I’m not indigenous to that continent, nor am I indigenous to the Caribbean, given that my ancestors were brought into these spaces as enslaved labourers after the eradication of the Indigenous populations. And, being immigrant to Canada, I count myself among the “unbelonged” there. Despite these facts, I call at least two places “home”—Tobago, because it continues to stop my heart with its beauty and has over the years provided a place for me to ground myself and from which to write, and Canada, because my children were born there, and there is where I have done my life’s work as a writer. Although I work always from the rock bed of the Caribbean, and in particular Tobago, the work I’ve done could only have been done in Canada. Although my entry to Canada was as a graduate student, I am among the immigrants this country has accepted. The usual destination of Caribbean immigrants, however, was not Canada but the United Kingdom, the Mother Country, and later the United States. My coming to Canada was breaking with a certain tradition. Had I, however, begun writing in the United Kingdom, epicentre of the former British Empire, or in the United States, epicentre of the current one, my path would have been a very different one as a writer. In the former case I would have had to engage with the long history and tradition of writing from the colonies, now the Commonwealth. Further, the weight of tradition in the U.K. is such that it would have been very difficult, if not impossible, to find a poetic language for what cannot be told yet must be told. And in a language that bore us no love and nurtured our non-being. Writing from the U.S. would have meant an engagement with the long history of writing by African Americans, beginning with the enslaved poet Phyllis Wheatley. In Canada, it felt as if there was not a tradition that I could engage with—either in embrace or rejection. I could count the Black writers on one hand when I began writing; it felt as if one were truly on the frontier. And lonely. What this loneliness meant was that one would either disappear in the nothingness or be forced to go deep to find the subterranean rivers of tradition that one could link oneself to.
The buzzword today is intersectionality—the nexus of the many ways in which we are and act in the world—we, each of us, are indeed a multitude. In the ’70s and ’80s, the remarkable thinker Walter Rodney helped to develop the idea of being politically Black, arguing that often the racist act and actor failed to discriminate in terms of his target—Pakistani, African, or Caribbean—the brute force of racism thus landed equally among peoples of colour. By the ’90s, however, the broad-brush approach that allowed African Caribbean people, continental Africans and Asians to gather under the rubric of being Black in the political sense (particularly in the U.K.) had fragmented into the particularities of identities—Asian, South and East; Caribbean; continental African and other cultural markers of identity. In the twenty-five years, the list of markers has rightly expanded to include LGBTQ2, as well as the disabled. Riffing on the old and now outdated esoteric and philosophical discussions about the presence of angels, I’m sometimes tempted to ask how many identities can dance on the head of a pin.

“Back” then in Tabago a quarter of a century ago, I was reading George Lamming’s *The Pleasures of Exile*, in which he writes: “The pleasure and the paradox of my own exile is that I belong to wherever I am.”10 Now I read *The Lights of Pointe-Noire* by Alain Mabanckou, the French Congolese writer who, on his first visit back to his home some twenty-five years later, observes: “I look for reasons to love this town, all smashed up though it is, and consumed by its anarchic growth. Like a long-lost lover, faithful as Ulysses’ dog, it reaches out its long, shapeless arms to me, and day after day shows me how deep its wounds are, as though I could cauterise them with the wave of a magic wand.”11 Unlike Mabanckou’s response to his home, Tobago gives me many reasons to love it, most related to its natural beauty, but the wounds that Mabanckou talks about, which are the wounds of colonialism, are present all the same, though at times better hidden. We cannot, try as we might, cauterize the wound of colonialism: it suppurates, bleeds sometimes, extrudes pus, sometimes appears healed but aches always. On some days, however, I gaze out at the ocean, count the shades of blue and am content, wounds and all.

Twenty-five years ago the Yonge Street riots would lead to a government-sanctioned investigation by Stephen Lewis, who would identify and name the deeply systemic roots of anti-Black racism in Ontario. It would be the latest in a string of official reports on
the plight of African Canadians. Then-NDP premier Bob Rae, who had commissioned the report, accepted many of the report’s findings, and under his governance Ontario saw the establishment of the Anti-Racism Secretariat. Under the subsequent Mike Harris Conservative government, the Secretariat was promptly disbanded, and one of the government’s first actions was to permit the use of hollow-point bullets by the police, despite the government publicly observing that the Black community would be unhappy about this. One of those bullets, shortly after their mandated use, would kill the unarmed First Nations man, Dudley George, in 1995, in what was known as the Ipperwash Crisis. Black communities in Canada continue to be challenged by issues of police carding of young Black men and women, unfulfilled expectations and aborted potential. Unwarranted killings of African Canadians by the police continue to plague the communities. In the passage of time, we’ve seen the opening, to great and vocal opposition, of an Africentric alternative primary school, which, while welcome, actually speaks to a failure of the mainstream educational system to provide a curriculum and pedagogical environment that meet the needs of young African Canadian children.

A subsequent attempt to start an Africentric high school at Oakwood Collegiate in the St. Clair/Oakwood area in 2011—the neighbourhood I have lived in for the last forty years—was greeted with great hostility by the neighbourhood, despite the fact that the school has traditionally had a large number of African Canadian students. Yet another example of how African Canadians are made to feel unwelcome in this city.

A quarter of a century later has also seen the emergence of Black Lives Matter, the brainchild of queer and trans women from the United States, which has spawned offshoots in different cities in the U.S., Canada and overseas. A response to the wanton police shootings of African American men and women, BLM’s name appeared to simply state a fact at the heart of which was actually a wish—that Black lives ought to matter in the face of utter disregard on the part of law enforcers, which offends and outrages members of the Black community.

It seems redundant that one has to state that Black lives matter; indeed, in a capitalist economy Black lives have always mattered, unfortunately, however, not for their intrinsic value but for their use value. The financial system we live with and in today has its
roots in a system of speculative financing that was developed during the transatlantic trade in Africans, which, through a system of promissory notes, allowed for someone in Liverpool, for instance, to purchase an African in West Africa and have him or her transported to the Caribbean or the Americas for sale, and receive payment for that transaction in Liverpool.\textsuperscript{16} Many European nations and Europeans made their fortunes on a trade at the heart of which was the purchase and sale of Africans. It is in this sense that I say that Black lives have always mattered, and it is this essential dehumanization of Black lives that generates the need for us to state today what should be redundant—that Black lives do matter. For their intrinsic worth. It has been remarkable, however, how the assertion of what should not really need to be stated, that Black lives matter, becomes an irritant for so many who insist that it is exclusionary or, in response, that all lives matter, or blue lives matter. As if the very statement that Black lives matter negates the value of other lives. Is it that, perhaps unconsciously, those who oppose the slogan understand that acceptance of, and acting on, the fact that Black lives do indeed matter would shift so much that we have taken for granted that they grow uncomfortable at the possibility of such a seismic change? For make no mistake, if Black lives truly mattered, or if Indigenous lives mattered, speaking to the two genocides at the heart of the unsettling of the Americas and the Caribbean, we would, indeed, be living in an altered universe.

In those twenty-five years the U.S. did the unexpected and twice elected a biracial African American man to be president and commander-in-chief, then turned on a dime and elected a wealthy, white businessman who appears cut from the cloth of the classic colonial governor and whose stated goal is to “make America great again.”

Those of us who come from cultures that have been riven by colonialism understand its destructive impact: wherever they conquered and/or unsettled, colonial powers disregarded Indigenous and local traditions and practice, all of which would have been centuries if not millennia old, trampling them or forbidding them as they did the drum in Trinidad or the \textit{mbira} (the musical instrument through which the Shona people of Zimbabwe speak to their Ancestors). People’s languages and customary ways of running their lives, practising their religions, and governing themselves was of no consequence. Custom, tradition, mores, laws were all
discounted and dismantled if they got in the way of the colonial project, and, more times than not, it was the colonial governor who administered these destructive practices. In his flagrant and wanton disregard of tradition; in his dismissal of long-accepted procedures and customs; in his wholesale abandonment of protocol and the established ways of governing, Trump hews closely to the role of the colonial governor.

There have been presidents who are Republican and who have governed from the right before, but what has unnerved and destabilized the populace, the world and many in the administration is not just the content of Trump’s policies, troubling enough as they are, but his refusal to follow the accepted ways of governance. The comedic engagement with this process on the late-night talk shows provides a way of managing what is, in fact, the reinstitution of colonial governance. A small but powerful example: Secretary of State Rex Tillerson refusing to have the press corps accompany him on his most recent trip to Asia.

Among the complaints lodged against the colonial governor of Virginia in 1702 were the following: “He has taken upon himself the right to preside over the body and limit debate; he states the questions and overrules in an arbitrary and threatening manner; he threatens and abuses all who speak anything contrary to his opinions; he meets privately with members and uses all of the arts of cajoling and threatening for his own ends; his behaviour constitutes intolerable encroachments upon the liberties of both Houses.”

All of which now appear utterly familiar. Colonized cultures and societies in Africa, Asia, the Americas and the Caribbean all found ways to resist, but none could withstand the colonial onslaught, and they essentially either collapsed or developed dysfunctional ways of accommodating colonialism. These are the wounds Mabanckou writes about; these are the wounds I witness in Trinidad and Tobago. These are the wounds the First Nations of this country live with. What is different this time is that for the first time we are actually witnessing what that process of colonial destabilization would have been like, the results of which are nation-states that today appear to have always been this way—poor, ravaged, war-torn. In the case of the U.S., we are witnessing a colonial threat to a democratic culture, and this is new, although not entirely unexpected given the colonial antecedents of the U.S. State.

Consider, for a macabre moment, that Africa could not today
support a slave trade. I insist we start from this difficult and possibly offensive place to better understand the enormity of what was done. To be able to sustain the removal of millions of healthy individuals over some five centuries requires that certain things be in place: that there be potable water; that there be the ability to grow crops to feed populations; that there be effective ways of managing sexuality so that the group continues replenish itself; that there be adequate systems providing for childbirth, so that infant and maternal mortality are kept at a minimal level; that there be effective ways of training and educating the younger generation in how to live and survive in their environments; that there be cultural systems that support people’s human and spiritual needs for relationship with each other and with spiritual and religious forces. All these practices had to be in place to allow Africa to be the source of healthy people for a period of some five centuries. Today, as I write, there is now a famine (once again a repetition) in three African countries, Yemen, Northern Nigeria and South Sudan, where some four million people are at risk of death. So, I ask, what happened?

I don’t pretend to have the definitive answer—the answers are many and myriad. Simone Weil, the French Jewish philosopher, offers one which I accept—that Europe, having unsettled and uprooted itself within its own boundaries, set out around the world to unsettle and uproot others, first through colonialism later wedded to capitalism, and later still to industrialization. Some have lived this traumatic process, some have witnessed it, others have turned their eyes from it: the universal result has been peoples of colour being made stranger to and winnowed of their own lands, widowed of their cultures. Climate change, wars, famine, drought, floods—the list is endless, but it culminates in these times in our witnessing one of the largest mass movements of people fleeing those bereft lands for the mecca of Europe and the West as the cries mount from those places that they should go back to where they came from or stay where they are. Never mind, the West appears to assert through its historic indifference. Never mind our wanderings over lands and seas to plant our flags in your countries and root out your languages and cultures to plant our own; never mind the depredations we have inflicted; never mind we have permanently uprooted you; stay where you are, in those very spaces that we, through our uprooting, have made inhospitable for you.

What can we do but grieve.
What we are now witnessing in the U.S.—a split populace facing off against each other—is reminiscent of those historical examples of countries like India, where different ethnic groups traditionally shared common spaces, but under colonial rule are split between Hindu and Muslim. In the U.S., one half of the populace—including angry, white, disenfranchised voters having thrown their lot in with the Pied Piper of dissension—revels in a new legitimacy given to blatant racism, anti-Semitism and Islamophobia, delights in the banning and expulsion of immigrants and in walls erected between countries. The other half longs for that moral arc of justice that Martin Luther King spoke of. Both of these images are real aspects of the U.S. The upheaval in governance is the colonial onslaught writ large and taking place in a modern, developed and technologically advanced state—the most powerful in the world. It is a logical and predictable continuation of the colonial state now being run by a colonial governor. Indeed, states like Canada and the U.S. and the many other countries of the Americas and the Caribbean remain colonial states, most obviously in their relationship with their First Nations- and African-descended populations. Whether American democracy, unlike those earlier colonized cultures, is robust enough to resist and change this newest colonial attack is now the question that faces all of us. The consequences, as we are already witnessing, will impact us all, whether or not we live in the U.S.

In 1994, two years after the publication of *Frontiers* and four years after the release of Mandela, the system of apartheid in South Africa, begun in 1948, would come to an end. Many of us had spent the preceding years demonstrating against the regime’s practices. Its Truth and Reconciliation Commission would become a model for other war-ravaged countries, such as Northern Ireland. I have often wondered how events may have been different if Truth and Reconciliation Commissions had been held in each and every Caribbean island as they came to independance and in the United States after the struggle for Civil Rights was successful. How might a public witnessing of “It”—that which still defies naming—have changed the views of those who saw themselves as losers—those invested in retaining systems that exploited Black and brown peoples—in a struggle that succeeded in bringing democracy to the U.S. and all those tiny Caribbean islands.

Colonialism has constituted a long and sustained attack against the First Nations of this country and their cultures: the establishment
of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission into the Indian Residential Schools was, therefore, a significant, long-overdue and welcome event in the course of the intervening twenty-five years. Its findings seem finally to awaken many unsetler Canadians to the brutal and brutalizing effects of colonialism on the First Nations of this country. A very few years after my arrival in Canada, mercury contamination at the Asubpeeschoseewagong (Grassy Narrows) First Nation came to public attention. Some forty years later, in 2017, the river remains contaminated, as are the fish that live there. Residents drink bottled water as their tap water is also unfit for drinking. The health of the members of the reservation continues to be affected by the contamination. This remains a scandal of astonishing proportions, if only because of the length of time it has taken to not solve this problem, which has been normalized. This continuous mercury contamination remains a tangible and ongoing example of systemic racism. I am reminded of the water crisis in Flint, Michigan, in 2014 in which the town’s water was contaminated by lead, affecting mainly lower-income and African American people.

What is clear is that in the last twenty-five years racism has remained an issue manifesting in old and new ways. The worldwide web is now a space where the most viciously racist comments can be made with little or no consequence. The new president of the United States has by his rhetoric opened the floodgates even further, beginning with his statements about Mexicans being rapists and Muslims inherently terroristic, which has added fuel to an already-inflammatory and racially charged situation. Casting our eyes more closely home here in Canada, we appear to have our own Trump Lite in Kelly Leitch’s test for Canadian values.

My engagement with cultural issues through writing arises not simply because I’m a writer and poet who works in the cultural sector, but because African culture was a particular focus of attack by colonial powers. Über-missionary David Livingstone was of the belief that the most effective way to bring Christianity to Africans was first to destroy their culture, then introduce commerce, then religion. He understood that culture underpinned everything. Africans were prohibited from speaking their languages, practising their religions, playing their music. Even today something that should be commonplace—the grooming and styling of hair, a fundamental aspect of any culture—generates a raft of responses,
from acceptance through prohibition and rejection on the part of non-Africans to great anxiety among Black women. 21 We’ve been told, as I was in high school in Trinidad, that we, Africans and African-descended people, unlike everyone else, had no culture or history, even as Europe, after having stolen the continent’s peoples, not to mention its land and mineral deposits, appropriated and stole both its cultural artifacts and approaches to visual art that would lend new life to Western art. The erasure of the violent, exploitative relationship between colonizer and colonized has been woven into the ensuing relationships. And in all this, Europe remains as if untouched, ever innocent of its tremendous crimes against the peoples of Africa, Asia, Australasia and the Middle East.

The weight and influence of African music and dance continues unabated as our musical forms and styles are appropriated and taken up by different groups and peoples around the world. The sound of modernity is inextricably linked to the sound of jazz; hip hop is now an international, multi-billion-dollar industry influencing clothing and fashion around the world. Yet none of this redounds to African peoples being any more respected as they move through life. To the contrary, any claims on the part of African-descended people to be creative initiators of these musical forms and for appropriate acknowledgement are met, more times than not, with opposition and assertions about the universality of the music or, as in the case of hip hop, its multicultural origins. This reflects a disturbing parallel with the way in which the Black body and all that it produced, without and within—from crops to progeny—were the property of the slave owner, indeed anyone else but the enslaved herself. So too are the creative products of Black and African cultures seen to belong to anyone else but the creators themselves. More akin to the idea of the public domain rather than open-source and creative-commons approaches to digital technology: in the latter cases licences are required, in the former there is no protection provided for creative work. But imagine for a moment if record companies had to contribute one cent per album to a fund for every recording that utilized Black musical forms, and imagine if that fund were administered so that young people, the descendants of the *Maafa*, could utilize it for musical training, or education. Imagine. Then imagine again. This is but a small example of one of the ways economic reparations could happen for the crime the transatlantic trade in Africans constituted. 22 A
crime which, although resulting in irreparable loss, still requires that justice be done.